arXiv:hep-th/9412238v1 30 Dec 1994

GENERALISING THE CONCEPT OF MAXIMAL SYMMETRY OF SPACETIMES IN THE PRESENCE OF TORSION

[a] *Debashis Gangopadhyay and* [b] *Soumitra Sengupta*
[a] S.N.Bose National Centre For Basic Sciences
DB-17, Sector-I, Salt Lake, Calcutta-700064, INDIA
[b] Department of Physics, Presidency College
86/1, College Street , Calcutta-700073, INDIA

Abstract

A possible generalisation is given to the meaning of maximal symmetry in the presence of torsion.

PACS NO: 04.20.cv ; 02.40.ky ; 11.27 + d

In N dimensions, a metric that admits the maximum number $N(N+1)/2$ of Killing vectors is said to be maximally symmetric.A maximally symmetric space is homogeneous and isotropic about all points [1].Such spaces are of natural interest in the general theory of relativity as they correspond to spaces of globally constant curvature which in turn is related to the concepts of homogeneity and isotropy.We propose a possible generalisation of the meaning of maximal symmetry when torsion is present.The motivation stems from string theory where there is a second rank antisymmetric tensor field in the spectrum that is identified with the background torsion.Therefore a maximally symmetric solution of the classical string equations of motion necessarily requires a generalisation of the definition itself.

The presence of torsion implies that the affine connections $\bar{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ are asymmetric and contain an antisymmetric part $H^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ in addition to the usual symmetric term $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ [2,3]:

$$\bar{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} + H^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} \tag{1}$$

The notation has been chosen keeping string theory in mind where the antisymmetric third rank tensor $H_{\alpha\mu\nu} = \partial_{(\alpha}B_{\mu\nu)}$ is identified with the background torsion and $B_{\mu\nu}$ is the second rank antisymmetric tensor field mentioned above.Note that $H^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ are completely arbitrary to start with.

Defining covariant derivatives with respect to $\bar{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ we have for a vector field V_μ :

$$V_{\mu;\nu;\beta} - V_{\mu;\beta;\nu} = -\bar{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\beta}V_\lambda + 2H^{\alpha}_{\beta\nu}V_{\mu;\alpha} \tag{2}$$

where

$$\bar{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\beta} = R^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\beta} + \tilde{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\beta} \tag{3a}$$

$$R^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\beta} = \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu,\beta} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\beta,\nu} + \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} - \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\beta}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\alpha\nu} \tag{3b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\beta} &= H^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu,\beta} - H^{\lambda}_{\mu\beta,\nu} + H^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}H^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} - H^{\alpha}_{\mu\beta}H^{\lambda}_{\alpha\nu} \\ &\quad + \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}H^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} - H^{\alpha}_{\mu\beta}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\alpha\nu} + H^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} - \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\beta}H^{\lambda}_{\alpha\nu}\end{aligned} \tag{3c}$$

The generalised curvature $\bar{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\beta}$ does not have the usual symmetry (antisymmetry) properties.Note that the last term on the right hand side (2) is obviously a tensor.Hence $\bar{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\beta}$ is also a tensor.

Now, the determination of all infinitesimal isometries of a metric is equivalent to determining all Killing vectors ξ_μ of the metric.A Killing vector is defined through the Killing condition:

$$\xi_{\mu\ ;\ \nu} + \xi_{\nu\ ;\ \mu} = 0 \tag{4}$$

and it is easily verified that this condition is preserved also in the presence of the torsion $H^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$.

Equation (2) for a Killing vector hence takes the form:

$$\xi_{\mu;\nu;\beta} - \xi_{\mu;\beta;\nu} = -\bar{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\beta}\xi_{\lambda} + 2H^{\alpha}_{\beta\nu}\xi_{\mu;\alpha} \tag{5}$$

The $H^{\alpha}_{\beta\nu}$ are arbitrary and so we may choose them to be such that

$$H^{\alpha}_{\beta\nu}\xi_{\mu;\alpha} = 0 \tag{6}$$

This is a constraint on the $H^{\alpha}_{\beta\nu}$ and not the Killing vectors ξ_{μ}.The commutator of two covariant derivatives of a Killing vector thus becomes:

$$\xi_{\mu;\nu;\beta} - \xi_{\mu;\beta;\nu} = -\bar{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\beta}\xi_{\lambda} \tag{7}$$

We now impose the cyclic sum rule on $\bar{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\beta}$:

$$\bar{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\beta} + \bar{R}^{\lambda}_{\nu\beta\mu} + \bar{R}^{\lambda}_{\beta\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{8a}$$

As $R^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\beta} + R^{\lambda}_{\nu\beta\mu} + R^{\lambda}_{\beta\mu\nu} = 0$ the constraint (8a) implies

$$\tilde{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\beta} + \tilde{R}^{\lambda}_{\nu\beta\mu} + \tilde{R}^{\lambda}_{\beta\mu\nu} = 0$$

and this reduces to

$$H^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu,\beta} + H^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} + H^{\lambda}_{\nu\beta,\mu} + H^{\alpha}_{\nu\beta}\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\alpha\mu} + H^{\alpha}_{\beta\mu,\nu} + H^{\alpha}_{\beta\mu}\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\alpha\nu} = 0 \tag{8b}$$

Hence adding (7) and its two cyclic permutations and using (4), the relation (7) can be recast into

$$\xi_{\mu;\nu;\beta} = -\bar{R}^{\lambda}_{\beta\nu\mu}\xi_{\lambda} \tag{9}$$

Therefore given ξ_{λ} and $\xi_{\lambda;\nu}$ at some point X , we can determine the second derivatives of $\xi_{\lambda}(x)$ at X from (9).Then following the usual arguments [1], any Killing vector $\xi^{n}_{\mu}(x)$ of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ can be expressed as

$$\xi^{n}_{\mu}(x) = A^{\lambda}_{\mu}(x\ ;\ X)\xi^{n}_{\lambda}(X) + C^{\lambda\nu}_{\mu}(x\ ;\ X)\xi^{n}_{\lambda;\nu}(X) \tag{10}$$

where A^{λ}_{μ} and $C^{\lambda\nu}_{\mu}$ are functions that depend on the metric, *torsion* and X but not on the initial values $\xi_{\lambda}(X)$ and $\xi_{\lambda;\nu}(X)$, and hence are the same for all Killing vectors.Also note that the torsion fields present in $A^{\lambda}_{\mu}(x;X)$ and $C^{\lambda\nu}_{\mu}(x;X)$ obey the constraint (6).A set of Killing vectors $\xi^{n}_{\mu}(x)$ is said to be independent if they do not satisfy any relation of the form $\Sigma_n d_n \xi^{n}_{\mu}(x) = 0$,with constant coefficients d_n.It therefore follows that there can be at most $N(N+1)/2$ independent Killing vectors in N dimensions,*even in the presence of torsion provided the torsion fields satisfy the constraints (6) and (8b).*

Now, $\bar{R}_{\lambda\mu\nu\beta}$ is antisymmetric in the indices (λ,μ) and (ν,β).This follows from the fact that $R_{\lambda\mu\nu\beta}$ and $\tilde{R}_{\lambda\mu\nu\beta}$ both have these properties.This can be verified through an elaborate but straightforward calculation.Then proceeding as in [1],we have (using -$\bar{R}^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu\alpha} = \bar{R}_{\mu\nu}$ etc.)

$$(N-1)\bar{R}_{\lambda\mu\nu\beta} = \bar{R}_{\beta\mu}g_{\lambda\nu} - \bar{R}_{\nu\mu}g_{\lambda\beta}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}&(N-1)R_{\lambda\mu\nu\beta} + (N-1)\tilde{R}_{\lambda\mu\nu\beta} \\ &= R_{\beta\mu}g_{\lambda\nu} - R_{\nu\mu}g_{\lambda\beta} + \tilde{R}_{\beta\mu}g_{\lambda\nu} - \tilde{R}_{\nu\mu}g_{\lambda\beta} \qquad (11)\end{aligned}$$

where N is the number of dimensions. $R_{\lambda\mu\nu\beta}$, $R_{\beta\mu}$ are functions of the symmetric affine connections Γ only, whereas $\tilde{R}_{\lambda\mu\nu\beta}$, $\tilde{R}_{\beta\mu}$ are functions of both Γ and H.Moreover, Γ and H are independent to start with.Hence in equation (11) we are justified in equating corresponding terms on both sides to get the following two equations:

$$(N-1)R_{\lambda\mu\nu\beta} = R_{\beta\mu}g_{\lambda\nu} - R_{\nu\mu}g_{\lambda\beta} \qquad (12a)$$

$$(N-1)\tilde{R}_{\lambda\mu\nu\beta} = \tilde{R}_{\beta\mu}g_{\lambda\nu} - \tilde{R}_{\nu\mu}g_{\lambda\beta} \qquad (12b)$$

The above two equations lead to

$$R_{\lambda\mu\nu\beta} = R^{\alpha}_{\alpha}(g_{\lambda\nu}g_{\mu\beta} - g_{\lambda\beta}g_{\nu\mu})/N(N-1) \qquad (13a)$$

and

$$\tilde{R}_{\lambda\mu\nu\beta} = \tilde{R}^{\alpha}_{\alpha}(g_{\lambda\nu}g_{\mu\beta} - g_{\lambda\beta}g_{\nu\mu}/N(N-1) \qquad (13b)$$

It is appropriate to note here that

$$\tilde{R}^{\alpha}_{\alpha\nu\beta} = 0 \qquad (14a)$$

$$\tilde{R}^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu\alpha} = H^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu,\alpha} - H^{\alpha}_{\mu\gamma}H^{\gamma}_{\alpha\nu} + H^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\gamma} - H^{\alpha}_{\gamma\nu}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\mu\alpha} - H^{\alpha}_{\mu\gamma}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\nu} \qquad (14b)$$

If we now demand that $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{R}_{\nu\mu}$ we have the constraint

$$H^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu,\alpha} + H^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\gamma} + H^{\alpha}_{\nu\gamma}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\mu} - H^{\alpha}_{\mu\gamma}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\nu} = 0 \qquad (15)$$

which is the same as $(8b)$ with $\beta = \lambda$.Hence $(8b)$ implies that $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric.

Under these circumstances we have

$$R_{\mu\nu} = (1/N)g_{\mu\nu}R^{\alpha}_{\alpha} \qquad (16a)$$

$$\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = (1/N)g_{\mu\nu}\tilde{R}^{\alpha}_{\alpha} = H^{\alpha}_{\mu\beta}H^{\beta}_{\alpha\nu} \qquad (16b)$$

Now using arguments similar to those given in Ref.[1] for the Bianchi identities we can conclude that in the presence of torsion fields satisfying constraints discussed before :

$$\bar{R}_{\lambda\mu\nu\beta} = R_{\lambda\mu\nu\beta} + \tilde{R}_{\lambda\mu\nu\beta} = (K + \tilde{K})(g_{\lambda\nu}g_{\mu\beta} - g_{\lambda\beta}g_{\mu\nu}) = \bar{K}(g_{\lambda\nu}g_{\mu\beta} - g_{\lambda\beta}g_{\mu\nu}) \qquad (17)$$

where

$$R^{\alpha}_{\alpha} = constant = KN(1-N) \qquad (18a)$$

$$\tilde{R}^{\alpha}_{\alpha} = H^{\mu\lambda}_{\beta}H^{\beta}_{\lambda\mu} = constant = \tilde{K}N(1-N) \qquad (18b)$$

$$\bar{K} = K + \tilde{K} = constant \qquad (18c)$$

(In deriving the above results from the Bianchi identities we have used the fact that for a flat metric the curvature constant $K = 0$.Hence demanding $\bar{K} = 0$ for a (globally) zero curvature space means that $\tilde{K} = 0$ which in turn means that the torsion must vanish.)

Therefore, in the presence of torsion the criteria of maximal symmetry has been generalised through the equations $(13b)$, (17) and (18).The physical meaning is still that of a globally constant curvature (which now also has a contribution coming from the torsion).The torsion fields are, however, subject to the constraints embodied in equations (6), $(8b)$ and $(18b)$ and these constraints are mutually consistent.Hence our usual concepts of the homogeneity and isotropy of space have a more generalised footing in the presence of torsion.The thing to note is that the existence of torsion does not necessarily jeopardise the prevalent concepts of an isotropic and homogeneous spacetime.All that is required is that the torsion fields obey certain mutually consistent constraints.These constraints, in some sense, ensure that the usual physical meaning of an isotropic and homogeneous spacetime remains intact.

It is also worth mentioning here the relevance of the present work in the context of string theory.The low energy string effective action posseses for time dependent metric $G_{\mu\nu}(\mu, \nu = 1, 2, ...d)$, torsion $B_{\mu\nu}$ and dilaton ϕ background fields a full continuous $O(d, d)$ symmetry under which "cosmological" solutions of the equations of motion are transformed into other inequivalent solutions [4,5].Subsequently, it was shown that given a classical background in string theory independent of d of the space-time coordinates , other classical backgrounds are possible by $O(d) \otimes O(d)$ transformations on the solution [6,7].A solution with zero torsion is necessarily connected to a solution with non-zero torsion.Therefore, in the framework of the generalised maximal symmetry discussed here it is worth studying whether this generalised maximal symmetry is preserved under the $O(d) \otimes O(d)$ transformation.The results of this investigation will be reported elsewhere. We thank A.K.Raychaudhuri for illuminating discussions.One of us (S.S.G.) gratefully acknowledges discussions with G.Ellis.

References

[1] Steven Weinberg, in Gravitation and Cosmology (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1972), p.375-p.404.

[2] A.K.Raychaudhuri, in Theoretical Cosmology (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979).

[3] W.Pauli, in Theory Of Relativity (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1958).

[4] G.Veneziano, Phys.Lett. **B265**, 287 (1991).

[5] K.A.Meissner and G.Veneziano, Phys.Lett. **B267**, 33 (1991).

[6] A.Sen, Phys. Lett. **B271**, 295 (1991).

[7] A.Sen, Phys. Lett. **B274**, 34 (1991).